

MHHS Migration and Cutover Advisory Group (MCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 15/09/2026

Meeting number	MCAG 028	Venue	Virtual – MS Teams
Date and time	25 August 2026 1400-1600	Classification	Public

Actions:

Area	Action Ref	Action	Owner	Due Date	Update
No open actions.					

Decisions:

Area	Decision Ref	Description	Rationale
Headline Report and Actions	MCAG-DEC82	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the headline report of the previous meeting on 28 July 2026.	The Programme invited objections to the approval of the document, to which none were received.
M16 Year Minus 1 Assessment	MCAG-DEC83	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS SRO, approved T2-MR-0500 'STEG Assessment that M16 is achievable and likely to be met'.	The Programme invited objections to the approval of this decision, to which none were received.

Key Discussion Items:

Headline Report and Actions	DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS SRO, approved the headline report of the MCAG meeting on 28 July 2026 (MCAG-DEC82 – recording timestamp 02:00).
Settlement Timetable Work Update	The Programme provided an update on Settlement Timetable and STEG activity. They noted that discussions have focused on the risks and implications if full Migration is not complete by M15, including the continuation of Legacy Settlement and the impact on the M16 cutover decision. The Programme confirmed that it will develop proposed M16 Acceptance Criteria, including thresholds for MPANs remaining unmigrated at M15, for consideration at a future STEG. The Programme noted that STEG is currently developing the SF decision criteria and associated reporting, including assessment of settlement performance at WD+6. Readiness Assessment 8 concluded on 29 July 2026, with no material concerns identified, although further engagement is required with a small number of Small Suppliers. Outcomes are due to be presented to PSG on 02 September 2026. The Programme confirmed that the 02 September 2026 STEG will consider the first RF decision point (reduction in RF to 7 months). Current settlement and Migration performance data indicate that the agreed criteria have been met (as of 14 August 2026). The I&C Supplier Representative asked which constituency required further engagement. The Programme confirmed this was the Small Supplier constituency and that the relevant representatives had been notified.
M16-Related BSC Issues and Modification Update	<u>Supplier Charges Issue</u> The Elexon Representative provided an update on the MHHS Supplier Charges methodology, including its governance, potential Supplier liabilities and the effect of the shortened settlement timetable. They explained that changes were required because some data used to calculate Supplier Charges will no longer be available under MHHS and the associated Settlement Performance Standards are changing. The revised methodology also addresses a limitation whereby larger Suppliers could continue to receive payments regardless of performance. Two formal Issue proposals have been submitted, with a further BSC Party expressing interest in raising an Issue. Elexon is arranging a joint meeting with the interested parties to agree a single BSC Issue proposal. The Elexon Representative noted that, under the current arrangements, the earliest point at which Supplier Charges could be considered by the PAB is February 2027. The Chair queried whether the joint meeting had been arranged. An Elexon Representative confirmed that invitations had been issued, with the meeting expected by the end of that week or early the following week. The Chair also queried the February 2027 date, and Elexon clarified that this is the earliest point at which PAB could approve Supplier Charges, based on calculations produced in January 2027 and potentially invoiced in March.

	- The Large Supplier Representative queried the notice period and how an ongoing Issue or code change could affect implementation. The Elexon Representative noted that the ability to delay or backdate charges would depend on the Code position and any resulting changes, and that a request to the Panel may be needed to pause implementation. <u>CVA Issue Group</u> The Elexon Representative provided an update on Issue 122, which was raised on 07 August 2026 to assess whether CVA Parties can operate effectively under the accelerated M16 settlement timescales. The Issue will consider exception management, meter faults, responsibilities, escalation arrangements and other CVA processes that may need to operate more quickly, informed by Elexon's wider M16 review and previous CDCA process audit findings. The first Issue Group meeting is to be confirmed. - The Chair queried when the scope and duration of the work would become clear. The Elexon Representative noted that the first Issue Group meetings should establish the relevant processes, existing analysis and likely timetable. <u>NESO Manifest Error Modification</u> The Elexon Representative (as BSC/BSCCo Manager) noted that NESO's current eight-Working-Day evidence preparation cycle would not align with the shortened seven-Working-Day SF timetable. NESO intends to propose moving the required consideration point from SF to R1. While primarily a wording update, Elexon noted that the change could have practical consequences. NESO intends to submit the BSC Modification for consideration at the October 2026 BSC Panel meeting.
M16 Year Minus 1 Assessment	The Programme presented the M16 Year Minus 1 Assessment, covering the current position against the RF, R1, DF and SF decision points, Migration and settlement performance, and the M16 RAID position. The Programme confirmed that, based on the information currently available, STEG assessed that M16 remains achievable and likely to be met. They also noted that there are no Critical or High-rated risks or issues relating to M16 on the Programme RAID Log. No questions or comments or objections were raised. DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS SRO, approved milestone T2-MR-0500 'STEG Assessment that M16 is achievable and likely to be met' (MCAG-DEC83 – recording timestamp 25:55).
Migration Execution	The Programme provided an update on Migration Execution. They noted that as of 14 August 2026, 20.6 million MPANs had completed Migration and over 61% of MPANs had had a Migration initiated. Early Sprint 4 performance remains positive, tracking at approximately 98% against plan. Migration is now around 3% behind the M11 baseline, compared with 20.76% behind at the start of Sprint 3, with approximately 5.5 million MPANs expected to migrate during Sprint 4. The Programme confirmed that there are now 23 active Suppliers, with a further 23 expected to start during Sprint 4. They also highlighted that available Migration capacity significantly exceeds the remaining requirement. The Chair queried how MCC was managing the increase in active Suppliers. The Programme confirmed that processes are working well, with established Suppliers moving into BAU activity allowing MCC to focus on new entrants. The Programme noted that most Suppliers are migrating within the Migration Framework tolerances. A small number are ahead of their Sprint 4 plans through use of unused capacity, while recovery plans are in place and tracking well for those affected by localised

	issues. Three Suppliers have initiated Migration for 100% of their active portfolios and two have achieved their 90% Core Migration Window Targets. No LDSO, DCC or DIP threshold breaches were reported. • The Chair queried whether sufficient Migration capacity remained and whether any significant issues had emerged. The Programme confirmed that approximately 47 million MPANs of capacity remained available against fewer than 16 million MPANs remaining in Legacy, with no significant issues identified and Suppliers engaging positively on recovery activity. • The Elexon Representative (as BSC/BSCCo Manager) queried whether Suppliers described as having ‘completed Migration’ had fully met the M15 criteria. The Programme clarified that they had initiated Migration for their active portfolios but that half-migrated MPANs, terminated MPANs and future portfolio gains still need to be monitored.
Participant-Driven Migration Dependencies	The Programme provided an update on participant-driven Migration dependencies. They confirmed that all dependencies remain Green except DCC Migration performance, which remains Amber following issues affecting MDR appointment messages. The direct impact on Migration remains limited. Service Activation and DIP onboarding continue to support planned Supplier start dates, with no further unplanned DIP outages. Occasional LDSO issues remain isolated and are generally resolved within the day. • The Chair queried the nature of the DCC issue and the forecast return to Green. The DNO Representative explained that three separate DCC certificate issues had caused the same outcome, requiring LDSOs to resend messages. The RECCo Representative confirmed that the incidents are being monitored through the REC PAB and that DCC is expected to provide an update at its next meeting.
Post ELS Framework Update	The Programme provided an update on proposed post-ELS changes to the Migration Framework, confirming that these do not introduce new Migration arrangements and instead formalise changes previously approved through Programme governance. The Programme outlined three areas of update: aligning the Migration Thresholds document with the outstanding DIN and MWG Backlog item 14; updating the Migration Framework and Calculation, Monitoring and Control Parameters document to reflect the de minimis threshold and scaling factors previously approved through governance in October 2025; and updating document wording to reflect the move into live operations. Redlines for the impacted documents can be found on the Collaboration Base. The Programme confirmed that CR066 has been raised to progress the amendments as housekeeping changes. As the changes do not affect Programme outcomes or deliverables, they will not require an Impact Assessment. Change Board considered CR066 on 24 August 2026, agreed that the amendments qualify as Housekeeping changes and recommended approval by PSG on 02 September 2026. No questions were raised.
Migration Exclusions List	The Programme provided an update on Migration Exclusions and Remediations requiring code change resolution, noting that this remains unchanged from the July MCAG. Total Exclusion and Remediation volumes have reduced by 61% against the March baseline. One Supplier newly reported approximately 37,000 Issue 3 MPANs, but the CSS Registration ID remediation is straightforward and is not considered a material risk. Excluding these MPANs, total volumes would have reduced to approximately 64,000.

	The Programme confirmed that sufficient time remains to resolve the approximately 100,000 MPANs currently recorded across the list ahead of M15. No questions were raised.
External Change Log	The Programme provided an update on key external changes supporting delivery of M15. They noted that DCR0019 was implemented on 13 August 2026, two remaining items have approved implementation dates, and one item is being progressed through guidance. External change is not currently considered a material risk to M15.
MWG Update	The Programme provided an update from MWG held on 13 August 2026. Discussions covered Migration Execution, Sprint 4 planning, post-ELS Framework updates, Supplier pathways to M15, the Supplier Support Hub, Complex Sites, Migration Exclusions and external change. The Programme noted that there is one open MWG action, owned by Elexon Market Design and Performance Assurance, relating to how MPANs in a created status will be managed in legacy after M15. All MWG backlog items were recommended closed at MWG meeting 54. No objections were raised by MCAG, and the Programme confirmed that no MWG backlog items remain open.
Programme Milestones Related to MCAG	The Programme confirmed that milestone T2-MR-0500, relating to MCAG endorsement that M16 is likely to be met, was completed through the decision taken at today's meeting (MCAG-DEC83). The September decision on reducing RF from 14 months to seven months remains on track. No questions were raised.
Top Programme Risks Related to MCAG	The Programme noted that the overall risk profile remains stable, with several scores reducing and no Critical or High-rated risks or issues currently open. M16 risks remain lower than the M15 risk profile, reflecting the positive position captured through the M16 Year Minus 1 Assessment decision by MCAG. No questions were raised.
Programme Updates	The section was taken as read – no comments or questions were raised by MCAG members.
Summary and Next Steps	The Chair and Secretariat summarised the decisions and actions from the meeting.

Date of next extraordinary MCAG: 15 September 2026

Date of next regular MCAG: 22 September 2026

Attendees

Chair		Rebecca Searle	PMO Analyst
Justin Andrews	Chair		
		Other Members/Attendees	
Industry Representatives		Andy MacFaul	Ofgem
Andrew Green/ Gareth Evans	I&C Representative	Jenny Boothe	Ofgem
Simon Harrison	Supplier Agent Representative	Reece Harris	IPA
Graham Wood	Large Supplier Representative	Liam Evans	IPA
David Yeoman	DNO Representative	Joe Ashworth	IPA
Jonny Moore	RECCo Representative	Simon Waltho	Elexon
Stuart Pitcher (alternate for James Stokes)	DIP Manager		
Morven Hunter	iDNO Representative	Apologies	
Michael Ceney	Elexon Representative (as BSC/BSCCo Manager)	Ian Giles	Elexon Representative
		Lijo Louis	Medium Supplier Representative
MHHS IM Members		Mark Treanor	Small Supplier Representative
Nicola Farley	MCC Lead	Andrew Dudkowsky	NESO Representative
Stuart Scott	Industry SME	Ryan Todd	DCC Representative
Ian Smith	Assurance & Integration Lead	Philip Roberts	DCC Representative
Joe Grisley	MCC Lead Delivery Project Manager	Warren Fulton	Migration Lead